This Brief uses data from the Wisconsin Child Care Research Partnership as a catalyst to inform development of a statewide child care rating system, which could build on basic licensing standards to assist parents in selecting quality child care.

Parent perspective
Choosing child care is one of the most important tasks facing parents of young children, yet most families rely on friends and neighbors, or newspaper and telephone directory advertisements, and do not “comparison shop.”1 As they seek to balance cost, convenience, reliability, access (e.g. transportation, openings), and job schedules, parents are often either unable to gauge quality or unable to find high quality programs.2 Parents may use the internet, brochures, checklists, and public education materials to search for child care information. However, there is currently limited factual information about the quality of specific programs to help families understand or exercise their power as consumers to influence the supply of quality.3 Providing parents with quality rating information could make them more effective child care consumers.

Government perspective
Funding from federal block grants is used primarily to provide child care subsidies that allow increasing numbers of low-income parents to work. It also supports child care regulation and enforcement, technical assistance, training initiatives, and child care resource and referral (CCR&R) services. Despite government efforts, child care quality continues to be a challenge, and may improve significantly only when child care providers, consumers, and the state work together to identify and then reward higher quality.

Provider perspective
The Wisconsin Child Care Research Partnership has demonstrated that the average quality of care in Wisconsin licensed centers is “mediocre.” The highest educational level for 58% of teachers is a high school diploma;4 56% of teachers earn less than $8 per hour;5 staff turnover averages 40% annually;6 and fewer than 10% of centers are nationally accredited.5 It is difficult to attract and retain highly qualified staff, and to provide the salaries and benefits these teachers deserve when parents seldom even consider provider qualifications in making their child care decisions.2

State efforts to rate quality
At least 13 states have developed consumer-friendly standards for child care facilities, much like quality rating systems for hotels and restaurants.6 For example: North Carolina. A 5-star system uses staff education, regulatory compliance history, and observed quality of care to assess all programs. Significant state resources were invested in 2000 to embed ratings into state licensing. Facilities receive differential rates of reimbursement for subsidy, based on the number of stars received.7 Colorado. A voluntary 4-star system, to be implemented in 2004 for selected sites, will include ratings of learning environments, family partnerships, adult/child ratios, staff training, and accreditation, and will be communicated through that state’s CCR&R system.8

A consumer rating system for Wisconsin
A national panel recently highlighted challenges associated with development of consumer rating systems.9 Members argued that the quality of a child care program is most accurately assessed through direct observation, but because such measures are costly to collect consistently across programs, indirect measures of quality, including telephone interviews, consumer surveys, or structural measures, might also be used. If Wisconsin were to develop a consumer rating system, it would likely emphasize structural (rather than observed) measures. It might also be implemented statewide (rather than voluntarily), be conducted in collaboration with state licensing and CCR&Rs, and be designed to meet the following criteria:

• Research-based. Indicators selected for measurement must be related to observed child care quality and significant enough to have a potential impact on children’s outcomes.
• Simplicity. From a parent perspective, ratings must provide useful information, be easy to access, and be easy to understand.
• Efficiency. From a government perspective, ratings must be objective, verifiable, and able to be collected easily and at minimal cost.
• Validity. From a provider perspective, ratings must be fair and representative of the care that child care programs typically provide.

Of course, no quality indicator system could provide the most important information that parents can glean only from observations of child care programs in action.
In this Brief, we use data from questionnaires completed by child care directors from randomly-selected centers participating in the state subsidy program (n=253) to illustrate a potential quality indicator model. We test the validity of this model by comparing quality as assessed by structural indicators against quality as assessed through observation in 26 randomly-selected centers and 26 centers that participated in a state-sponsored quality improvement project. We caution that the particular measures selected may be neither necessary nor sufficient, and we acknowledge that alternative measures (e.g. ratios, group size, absence of major licensing violations, cost of care) may be preferable. We introduce this model as a means of opening the dialogue for a statewide conversation about a potential quality indicator system that could eventually include all Wisconsin center and family child care programs.

What measures could a quality indicator model include?

For this Brief, we selected teacher, director, and center characteristics as measures of child care quality. Centers were assigned one “star” for each measure for which their center met the designated criteria. Validity of the model was tested by comparing the number of “stars” received by centers with measures of their observed child care quality.

Distribution of quality indicators

Figure 13.1 displays the percentage of randomly-selected centers meeting designated criteria on each of five quality indicators. Research has demonstrated significant relationships between these measures (teacher education, wages, experience, director education, and center accreditation) and observed measures of classroom quality.5

Distribution of total “stars”

Figure 13.2 displays the distribution in the total number of “stars” received by randomly-selected centers, using these quality indicators. Although all centers were licensed, 22% met none of the criteria for quality care, and therefore received no “stars.” Only 9% of centers received 4-5 “stars.”

Validity of a quality indicator model

Figure 13.3 demonstrates a consistent relationship between the number of “stars” assigned and the observed quality of care in classrooms, using the Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale (ECERS-R).10 These findings, indicating that centers with more stars earn higher ratings on the 7-point ECERS-R scale, suggest that it may be possible to estimate child care quality without actually observing in child care classrooms.
Policy Implications

1. **Parents:** A quality indicator system could increase parents’ knowledge about child care quality, enhance their abilities to identify quality characteristics, and improve their chances of selecting higher quality programs for their young children. CCR&Rs provide one natural outlet for this effort, since they currently serve thousands of parents annually with referrals and consultations.

2. **Government:** Government support for a child care rating system could lead to quality improvement by strengthening marketplace forces for consumer choice. The state could further leverage high quality through tiered reimbursement or through provision of incentives for quality improvement.

3. **Providers:** A quality indicator system could add healthy competition to the private market, thereby encouraging providers to strive for higher quality levels.

End Note: The next *Issue Brief* will focus on parents using the subsidy system.
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